The angular dependence of rise and decay times of solar radio bursts using multi-spacecraft observations

Nicolina Chrysaphi[1,2,3]

M. Maksimovic[2], E. Kontar[3], A. Vecchio[4,2], X. Chen[3], and K. Pesini[4]

[1]LPP, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France
[2]LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, Meudon, France
[3]University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
[4]Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Joint SolO/RPW & PSP/FIELDS Workshop, Orléans, France
27 February 2024


ORCID.org

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Spectroscopic Properties


Type III bursts:

- radio photons excited by electrons accelerated along open magnetic fields
- sometimes observed with associated Langmuir waves




Observed spectroscopic properties:

- signal amplitude (peak)
- duration
- delay time
- **rise time**
- **decay time**



Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)



SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Radio-wave Propagation Effects

Density inhomogeneities in the heliosphere **affect the propagation of photons**


- photons can be scattered, refracted, absorbed
- Important when observed $f \approx f_{pe}$
- frequency-dependent ⟹ lower frequencies are affected more

Scattering dominates the observed properties

- source sizes appear larger
- source positions appear shifted

Density inhomogeneities are anisotropic

⟹ scattering is also **anisotropic**



Kontar et al. 2019, ApJ, 884, 122


SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Anisotropic Scattering

- Anisotropic scattering means that photon propagation is **directional** (mushroom-like shape)

  ⟹ **observer's position is important**


The animation can be found at this CESRA nugget: Chrysaphi et al. (Nov. 2019), Figure 1





SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Size and Position vs Angle


Kuznetsov et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 94








Kontar et al. 2019, ApJ, 884, 122





single-frequency simulations

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Amplitude vs Angle








- Measured flux can vary by orders of magnitude at different angular separations

Musset et al. 2021, A&A, 656, A34

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Decay Time

Is the decay time also affected by the observer's position?



Why examine the decay time?

- Decay time **defined by scattering**
- Used as **proxy for estimating level of density fluctuations** $\frac{\delta n}{n}$
- If dependent on angular separation, measurements will need correction


Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Fitting the entire light curve


Previous Decay Time Estimations:

- Approximated using a single exponential fit to the decay phase
- Not always a good characterisation
- Peak time not described



We fit the **entire light curve with a single function**, providing an improved estimation of the **decay time**, and a simultaneous estimation of the **rise time and peak flux**:

$$f = \left[A \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_1}{t_i - t_{off}} - \frac{t_i - t_{off}}{\tau_2} \right) + C \right] \times H(t, t_{off})$$




Note: The growth rate is non-constant (non-exponential)

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Simulations Prediction



- Used state-of-the-art 3D ray-tracing simulations accounting for anisotropy *(Kontar et al. 2019, ApJ, 884, 122)*
- **Prediction: No dependency** of the decay time on the observer's position


Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr


Multi-vantage observations

- Multi-vantage observations of interplanetary Type III bursts
- Used data from:

Solar Orbiter | **Parker Solar Probe** | **STEREO-A** | **WIND**



- **Langmuir waves** observed by one of the spacecraft



Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr


Angular separation calculation

- **Langmuir waves** observed by one of the spacecraft

  ⟹ spacecraft location **taken as radio source location**

- (3D) angular separation **θ** calculated in the **plane of the two spacecraft**, with the Sun-source axis taken as the origin


- Considered the **Euclidean distance** between the source and spacecraft




Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Scattering-induced broadening correction





- Spacecraft measurements **not conducted at identical frequencies**
- Decay time dependence on frequency:

$$\tau_d \propto f^{-0.97\pm0.03}$$

- Rise time dependence on frequency:

$$\tau_r \propto f^{-0.77\pm0.14}$$


⟹ Used observed relations to **account for frequency differences between spacecraft measurements**

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)


SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Decay and Rise time

As a function of angular separation from source:



As a function of Euclidean distance from source:



* Figures shown are for one of the Type III bursts analysed


- Corrected for scattering-induced broadening due to frequency differences between spacecraft
- **Result: No systematic trend** between measurements at comparable frequencies


  ⟹ **Decay- and Rise-time measurements are independent of the observer's position**

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Direct comparison






- Similar time profiles despite that recorded frequencies are not identical

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)


SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Rise and Decay vs Frequency

Rise time $\propto f^{-0.92\pm0.03}$




Decay time $\propto f^{-0.96\pm0.03}$



Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Rise-to-decay time ratio vs Frequency



- $\tau_r/\tau_d \propto f^{0.04\pm0.04}$ (obtained for 0.06 – 1.73 MHz)
- Compared datasets covering frequencies from 0.06 – 130 MHz (4 decades), finding similar τ_r/τ_d
- **Result:** No frequency dependency

⟹ **Rise time is affected by scattering effects in a proportionate manner to the decay time**

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Summary

Improved Methodology

⟹ more physically meaningful

1. Used 3+ vantage points
2. Fitted entirety of light profiles with single function
3. Accounted for errors in rise and decay time estimations
4. Considered 3D locations of spacecraft
5. Calculated θ from the source (not heliocentric)
6. Calculated Euclidean distance (not just radial)
7. Corrected for differences between spacecrafts' recorded frequencies
8. Evaluated results in 3 separate ways

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)

SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ
nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

Summary

Improved Methodology

⟹ **more physically meaningful**

1. Used 3+ vantage points
2. Fitted entirety of light profiles with single function
3. Accounted for errors in rise and decay time estimations
4. Considered 3D locations of spacecraft
5. Calculated θ from the source (not heliocentric)
6. Calculated Euclidean distance (not just radial)
7. Corrected for differences between spacecrafts' recorded frequencies
8. Evaluated results in 3 separate ways

Conclusions

- **Scattering is anisotropic**, leading to highly-directional emissions ⟹ **consider observer's position**
- Decay & Rise time: **No systematic trend** between measurements at various observer separations

  ⟹ only measurements that can be trusted irrespective of the observer's location

  ⟹ do not require a correction
- **Rise phase of radio bursts does not grow exponentially**
- Rise-to-decay time ratio: **No frequency dependency**

  ⟹ **Scattering contributes significantly to rise phase**

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, accepted)